

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,623	10/29/2003	David A. Stark	TI-36657 (032350.B549)	5562	
23494	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	STRUMENTS INCORPO	RACHUBA, MAURINA T			
DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 X 75265	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3723	-	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/696,623	STARK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
M Rachuba	3723		

	M Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amend stice of Appeal (with appea	ment, affidavit, or other evide I fee) in compliance with 37 (nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	the mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 3 tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for than three months after the r	g amount of the fee. The appropreply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	
AMENDMENTS	ht mains the data of filin	a a baiaf will mat be automal l	h
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of t	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, -	many rejected ciamic.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a s		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 17-20. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		b)	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections und	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	
13.		M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723	1/2/2

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment "a liquid slurry delivryœ apparatus configured to deliver a liquid slurry to a polishinq surface of the polishinq pad" is a new issue requiring further consideration under 35 USC 112, and a new search..